

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/187,370	11/06/1998		DONALD C. WILCOXSON	22-0009	2971
7	590	01/28/2003			
TRW				EXAMINER	
LAW DEPARTMENT ONE SPACE PARK				ABELSON, I	RONALD B
BUILDING E2/6072 REDONDO BEACH, CA		90278		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, -			2666	
				DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	_	09/187,370	WILCOXSON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·		Ronald Abelson	2666				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, bly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed  b) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  SONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 L	December 2002 .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)  Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under n of Claims						
4)🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>1,6-10,17,19 and 20</u> is/are pending in	n the application.					
<u> </u>	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,6-10,17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐ ( Applicatio	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)□ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu se the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Ç				
14)□ Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment(	s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Tra- PTO-326 (Rev.		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8				

Art Unit: 2666

## Response to Amendment

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1, 6-10, 17, 19 and 20 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Olds and Watanabe. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Drawings

2. Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as -Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See
MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected
drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid
abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings
will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2666

4. Claims 1 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olds (US 5,574,969).

Regarding claim 1, Olds teaches a method and apparatus for interference management of a communications satellite serving multiple user terminals in a satellite based cellular communications system (fig. 1). The system comprises receiving a request for service from a user terminal (col. 9 lines 23 - 26), accessing at least one communications parameter selected from a group of communications system parameters consisting of antenna pattern parameters, spacecraft/antenna point error parameters, link condition parameters (antenna pattern, col. 10 lines 1-4), determining a connection parameter to minimize intra-system interference based upon the selected communications system parameter (channel, col. 10 lines 1-4), allocating the connection and making the connection (fig. 6 box 100, col. 10 lines 1-4).

Regarding claim 6, monitoring if the communications connection is still active (fig. 6 box 94, col. 9 lines 23 - 26).

Regarding claim 7, redetermining the connection parameter based upon an updated communications system parameter (col. 10 lines 1-4).

Application/Control Number: 09/187,370 Page 4

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claim 8, the connection parameter is a frequency channel (channel, col. 10 lines 1-4).

Regarding claim 9, time slot assignment (col. 3 lines 43-44).

Regarding claim 10, updating the group of communications systems parameters after the communications connection ends (fig. 6 box 96, 92).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olds in view of Watanabe (US 4,052,670).

Regarding claim 19, Olds teaches a method and apparatus for interference management of a communications satellite serving multiple user terminals in a satellite based cellular communications system (fig. 1). The system comprises receiving a

Art Unit: 2666

request for service from a user terminal (col. 9 lines 23 - 26), accessing one communications parameter selected from a group of communications system parameters consisting of antenna pattern parameters, spacecraft/antenna point error parameters, link condition parameters (antenna pattern, col. 10 lines 1-4), determining a connection parameter to minimize intra-system interference based upon the selected communications system parameter (channel, col. 10 lines 1-4), allocating the frequency channel and timeslot parameter in addition to making the connection (fig. 6 box 100, col. 10 lines 1-4), periodically determining the frequency channel and time slot to minimize intra-system interference (dynamically assigned in real-time, col. 2 lines 21-24), and updating databases (fig. 3 box 42, lines 44-47).

Olds is silent on accessing a second communications parameter selected from a group of communications system parameters consisting of antenna pattern parameters, spacecraft/antenna point error parameters, link condition parameters.

Watanabe teaches accessing a second communications parameter/link condition affects the timeslot/frequency channel assignment (col. 1 lines 15-24).

Art Unit: 2666

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having both Olds and Watanabe before him/her and with the teachings [a] as shown by Olds, a system comprising receiving a request for service from a user terminal, accessing at least one communications parameter selected from a group of communications system parameters consisting of antenna pattern parameters, spacecraft/antenna point error parameters, link condition parameters, determining a connection parameter to minimize intra-system interference based upon the selected communications system parameter, allocating the connection and making the connection periodically determining the frequency channel and time slot to minimize intra-system interference, and updating databases, and [b] as shown by Watanabe, the link condition affects the timeslot/frequency channel assignment, to be motivated to modify the system of Olds by not transmitting over 10 GHz during in areas where it is raining. This would improve the system since the signal is attenuated by rain.

Regarding claim 17, redetermining the frequency channel and timeslot after a determination is made that the communications connection is still active (Olds: fig. 6 box 10).

Regarding claim 20, the plurality of communications system parameters comprises location of active user terminals and frequency channel and time slots allocated to the active users

Art Unit: 2666

(Olds: fig. 4 see connections from satellites 52, 62, and 72 to users 56, 66, 76, fig. 6 box 100).

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Abelson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5622. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

> Ronald Abelson Examiner

Art Unit 2666

January 22, 2003

SEEMA S. RAO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

Art Unit: 2666

Page 8